Capitalism is the crisis

Democrats fiddle as climate emergency grows

By Scott Scheff

The consequences of a century's worth of industrial capitalist destruction of the atmosphere continued lashing out at the earth in late October. A "bomb cyclone" attacked the U.S. West Coast, while a nor'easter slammed the Northeast.

The damaging weather from the west then sped clear across the country after causing floods and mudslides. Tornadoes and thunderstorms rocked the region known as Tornado Alley, from South Dakota all the way south to Texas.

On the East Coast, from as far south as North Carolina and all the way north, thunderstorms with winds clocked in between 60 and 100 miles per hour. In New England, a storm surge of three to four feet knocked out power to well over 500,000 people.

The extreme weather of 2021 has surpassed so many previous records. It has been severe and frequent.

The most important international conference on climate change since the Paris Climate Accords were reached in 2015 began in Glasgow, Scotland, on Oct. 31. The White House was counting on getting an assortment of climate change proposals included in a broader spending bill through Congress before Glasgow -- to avoid international embarrassment.

The U.S. is the worst per capita emitter of greenhouse gases in the world and hasn't moved forward in its commitments. Biden has to present a pushed-up picture of progress. Negotiations with a handful of right-wing members of his own party sent Biden and other U.S. representatives to Glasgow empty-handed.

The Build Back Better spending bill was initially proposed with a price tag of $3.5 trillion. Along with a handful of other Democrats, Senator Joe Manchin of West Virginia has beaten that down to $1.75 trillion, and is still pushing for more cuts.

Manchin himself was already a coal baron before he became a U.S. senator. He's raked in more than $5 million since then. In April he was made chair of the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee in a bipartisan vote. That should be seen as a major conflict of interest, but it doesn't raise any eyebrows in Washington. There's plenty of that in capitalist government.

Alongside programs that would be key to mitigating climate change, the bill would have boosted crucial social services like paid family leave, student debt relief, child nutrition, an expansion of Medicare and Medicaid, and more. The spat within the Democratic Party has put all of that on the chopping block.

Manchin, the senator from Big Coal, says he wants the total spending bill cut to just $1.5 trillion.

CEPP on cutting room floor

Also left on the cutting room floor as of this writing is the Climate Energy Performance Program (CEPP). That proposal would have paid power generators incrementally to switch to wind, solar, hydro or nuclear power instead of coal or diesel. It would have imposed penalties for non-compliance.

CEPP was the flagship among the climate change proposals included in the spending bill, and the Biden administration was counting on it to happen before Glasgow.

The overall bill is framed in the media as grand and ambitious, but it doesn't live up to the urgency of the climate crisis. None of these climate change proposals go after the profits of the giant U.S. banks or energy industry. The CEPP came the closest — and that was too close for comfort from the point of view of Big Capital. Manchin is their attack dog.

The congressional group aligned against the bill used plummy concern over deficit spending — a favorite of the right-wing — as a cover story. It's really the profits of giant corporations that they're defending.

The money is there. Much of it is locked away in the bloated military budget with near-unanimous bipartisan support. U.S. military spending over the same 10-year period projected in the Build Back Better spending bill will add up to at least $8 trillion — and that's a conservative guess, because there will be increases.

But Pentagon spending is considered untouchable, as are the trillions of dollars in the coffers of the tiny handful of corporate owners that dominate the world.

Their wealth far outstrips that of the rest of the population of the planet.

Continued on page 5
Their elections and our struggle

By Stephen Millies

What do the Nov. 2 election results mean for poor and working people? In the Virginia governor’s race, Republican Glenn Youngkin beat Democrat Terry McAuliffe by $80,000 votes. In New Jersey, the Democratic incumbent Gov. Phil Murphy narrowly defeated Republican challenger Jack Ciattarelli. Joe Biden beat Donald Trump by $490,000 votes in Virginia and by 725,000 votes in New Jersey. A year later, Biden and the Democratic majority in Congress have done nothing that would inspire people to go to the polls.

No increase in the minimum wage. No expansion of Medicare and Medicaid. No cut in prescription prices. No subsidies for child care. Congress is refusing to pass these items that are needed by people. Biden could still issue an executive order to freeze payments on student loans. Over $3.5 trillion is owed by 23 million people. That’s an average of $36,510 of debt per person.

Wall Street would howl. Forty-three million voters would feel some relief.

Republican candidates instead felt free to use racism and attacks on transgender people to win votes. Biden could still issue an executive order to freeze payments on student loans. Over $3.5 trillion is owed by 23 million people. That’s what Glenn Youngkin did in Virginia.

Youngkin’s campaign ran a TV ad featuring a white woman lamenting that her son was forced to read “Be loved” in school. The famous novel was written by the late Toni Morrison, the only Black woman to be awarded the Nobel Prize in Literature.

Meanwhile, Fox News and Republicans across the country attacked immigrants. Yet it’s the Biden administration that has sent refugees back to Haiti.

Both Youngkin and Ciattarelli attacked “critical race theory.” That’s teaching the truth about the African Holocaust and the Holocaust of Indigenous peoples.

It wasn’t accidental that on the same election day, the Virginia counties of Mathews and Middlesex voted overwhelmingly to keep their Confederate statues.

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic helped Youngkin and Ciattarelli as well. Both candidates attacked the safety measures that are needed to defeat the coronavirus.

As racist as Youngkin’s campaign appeals were, he also said he would abolish Virginia’s sales tax on groceries. People have to pay a 2.5% tax on food.

A dozen other states outrageously tax food, including Mississippi. The poorest state in the U.S. makes poor people pay a 16% tax on food.

When McAuliffe was governor he kept this awful tax. So did the other Democratic governors in Virginia.

Democracy for the rich

These elections proved once again that the United States has the best democracy money can buy. Youngkin poured $21 million of his $480 million fortune into his campaign. The Democrat McAuliffe had billionnaire donors as well.

Youngkin was the co-CEO of the Carlyle Group, a Wall Street outfit that has spent $16 billion in assets. He raised Virginia’s union-busting “right-to-work” law and opposed a $15 minimum wage.

New Jersey Gov. Murphy also made a fortune on Wall Street. The Democrat ran the Frankfurt and Hong Kong offices of Goldman Sachs, a bank with assets of $2.1 trillion. Murphy poured in $20 million of his dough to win the 2017 Democratic primary.

Besides the Republican victory in Virginia, Republicans also defeat ed Democrats for several offices in Nassau and Suffolk counties in New York. Both counties are suburbs of New York City.

The Democratic Party establishment will use these defeats to attack the “ Squad” and any other progressive member of Congress. This turns things inside out.

What were the Democrats doing running former Governor McAuliffe in Virginia? He was known for being a longtime fundraiser for the Clintons and chaired Hillary Clinton’s 2008 presidential campaign when she ran against Barack Obama for the Democratic nomination.

McAuliffe also carried out the last three executions in Virginia. The state’s death penalty was abolished under current Gov. Ralph Northam. How was McAuliffe’s record supposed to motivate people to vote? If the Democrats had run a Black candidate in the state that established slavery in 1619, it would have been harder for Youngkin to launch his racist appeals.

Organize!

Particularly painful was the defeat of India Walton, who was running for mayor of Buffalo, N.Y. Although the Black woman won the Democratic primary, Walton ran as a socialist with a progressive program against displacement and development for the rich.

Walton was defeated in the general election by the incumbent mayor, Byron Brown, who waged a write-in campaign. Millions of dollars were spent on ads attacking Walton.

The capitalist media is also emphasizing that a measure to abolish the police in Minneapolis and replace it with a Department of Public Safety went down in defeat. But this measure won 62,813 votes, nearly 44% of the total.

Who would have thought a few years ago that an anti-police initiative would have gotten so many votes? George Floyd’s death was not in vain.

The future can be seen in the socialist campaign of Cathy Rojas for mayor of New York City. The candidate of the Party for Socialism and Liberation got over 25,000 votes — 2.5% of the total.

Rojas and her supporters distributed leaflets and put up posters throughout the city. The campaign called for defunding the police and housing for all.

The racism and bigotry peddled by Glenn Youngkin and other reaction ary candidates is dangerous. But it won’t create jobs with decent wages or prevent inflation.

The future is struggle. Strikete r is becoming Strikevember. We need to organize more than ever before.

Mobilizing in Our Own Name

Anthology by Clarence Thomas

Struggles documented in news articles, interviews, letters, posters, photos, speeches and video transcripts.

MillionWorkerMarch.com

Today’s workers can no longer continue to depend on bourgeois politicians to address issues of systemic racism, income inequality, corporate greed, workers’ rights, universal health care, slashing the military budget, and ending the murder of African Americans and people of color by police. The initiators of the Million Worker March (MWM) understood this, which is why they challenged the Democratic Party, the offici alm of labor, and others to organize the MWM.
Stop terrorist ‘no-knock’ police raids!

By Stephen Millies

Louisville, Ky., police with a “no-knock” search warrant broke down Breonna Taylor’s door after midnight on March 13, 2020, and started shooting. Six bullets struck the 26-year-old Black woman, killing her.

There were no Fourth Amendment rights against illegal search and seizure for Breonna Taylor. The police didn’t even search the home of the emergency room technician who helped save lives.

The Fourth Amendment didn’t apply to Alberta Spruill either. The 57-year-old city worker, a member of the Convention Avenue Baptist Church and AFSCME Local 1549, was looking forward to retirement. She liked to walk around her Harlem neighborhood and give candy to children.

Her life meant nothing to the dozen New York City police officers who had a no-knock warrant. At 6:30 am on May 26, 2003, they threw a flash grenade into Spruill’s apartment that stunned her. Two hours later she died of a heart attack.

On Nov. 21, 2006, Atlanta police with a no-knock warrant broke down the door of 92-year-old Kathryn Johnson. The cops fired 39 shots, killing the Black senior. Johnson was handcuffed as she lay dying.

Detroit police with a no-knock warrant invaded the home of 7-year-old Aiyana Jones on May 16, 2010. The Black girl was killed by a bullet fired by cop Joseph Weekly using a MP5 submachine gun.

An A&E network crew accompanied the cops, filming for the network’s police propaganda show, “The First 48.” Killer cop Weekly was a frequent star on cop shows. He was later cleared of all charges in the death of Aiyana Jones.

Jose Guerena was shot 22 times on May 5, 2011 — Cinco de Mayo — by New York Gov. Nelson Rockefeller’s police who first demanded no-knock raids, which had been illegal. Rockefeller signed these laws that Rockefeller’s family is Big Oil. It controls ExxonMobil and Chevron.

There’s never been a no-knock raid against the banksters who stole over 7.8 million homes from families through foreclosure proceedings.

It was New York Gov. Nelson Rockefeller who first demanded no-knock raids, which had been illegal. Rockefeller signed these laws that also authorized “stop and frisk” by police on March 3, 1964. Similar laws spread across the U.S.

Rockefeller’s family is Big Oil. It controls ExxonMobil and Chevron.

Nelson’s brother David was head of the Chase Manhattan Bank, now JP-Morgan Chase, with $3.68 trillion in assets.

Fifty years before Nelson Rockefeller signed the no-knock bills, his family had striking coal miners and their families in Ludlow, Colo., killed with machine guns on April 20, 1914. Seven years after no-knock, Gov. Rockefeller ordered the Attica prison inmates slaughtered on Sept. 13, 1971.

Rockefeller’s police state laws

All these atrocities were war crimes in the war against Black, Latinx and all oppressed people. The killing of Breonna Taylor helped inspire 26 million people to take to the streets to declare “Black Lives Matter!”

No-knock raids are like the bloody U.S. “search and destroy” missions during the Vietnam War. Or the massacre of Lakota people at Wounded Knee.


There was immediate resistance to Rockefeller’s police state legislation. Hundreds of people came to a Harlem rally on March 7, 1964, to protest. Among the speakers was Jesse Gray, who led a rent strike in Harlem.

Because of the Black Lives Matter movement, 28 states and 20 cities have passed restrictions on no-knock raids since the murder of Breonna Taylor. They should be abolished altogether.

Raise the minimum wage (and give Marx a prize!)

By Gary Wilson

The typical winner of the Nobel Prize in economics is a 67-year-old man, born in the United States, who is working at the University of Chicago when he wins. That’s what science writer Maggie Koerth found.

Technically, there is no Nobel Prize in economics. Koerth explains.

The first five chapters of “Value, Price and Profit” are Marx’s responses to Weston. The rest of the lecture series is a condensed preview of Marx’s “Capital,” which was published two years later in 1867.

Of course, it’s good that David Card is credited for showing that raising the minimum wage doesn’t increase unemployment. That’s good and it’s certainly true.
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Berlin voters say: Expropriate the landlords!

By Gregory E. Williams

Renters around the world should watch developments in Germany’s capital city, Berlin. Housing activists there have been mobilizing large-scale campaigns through by getting a referendum passed to expropriate the property of big corporate landlords owning 3,000 or more units, and the referendum states that these properties are to be converted into public housing. The vote was 56.2% in favor.

Many of the properties in question were publicly owned to begin with; in the mid-2000s, the city privatized half of 41,000 units, and there were previous rounds beginning in the 1990s, after the overturn of the socialist German Democratic Republic (GDR). The creation of a single capitalist state.

The campaign for the referendum, “Die Linke (the Left Party) and the Green Party supported the referendum, even though they did not spearhead it.

Broader lessons
Now the challenge is for the movement to keep up the pressure so that the city government carries through with the expropriations. The referendum campaign was not just about winning. However, with 80% of the Berlin population being renters and one victory already behind them, there seems to be a basis for intensifying the struggle.

The implications for the world outside the city are not being lost on commentators. Even a writer for the Guardian noted that “this successful campaign marks a potentially transformative moment — one that could have a major impact on housing struggles in other cities, and also serve as a template and inspiration for activists in Europe and elsewhere.”

There may be many strategic lessons here. For one, Berlin is showing that it is possible to take on the big landlords. Indeed, the sheer size of these corporate and financial entities could be their weakness.

As property companies grow to massive proportions, buying up assets they could sell, they became too big to fail. The global financial crisis — through boom cycles of speculation and then foreclosures. The rapid financialization and monopolization of the Berlin housing market, for example, occurred following the 2008 Great Recession.

There’s no reason to assume that the trend of soaring prices won’t continue. Currently, 55% of the world’s people live in urban areas.

New York Times on cybersecurity: More like war propaganda

By Gary Wilson

The New York Times loves “dog bites man” stories, especially if they can imply that the dog might be named Russia.

In journalism, you may have heard, if a dog bites a man that’s nothing; but if a man bites a dog, that’s news, in the words of Charles Dana, editor of the New York Tribune in the 1850s. So why would the Times make an exception, along with a “dog bites man” story? The dog, in this case, is somebody or a group of somebody who is being accused of a “break-in.” The target (the “man”) is a Microsoft cloud system.

The Times even says that U.S. officials compared this to be a “virus, unsophisticated, run-of-the-mill operation that could have been prevented if the cloud service provider had implemented basic cybersecurity practices.”

The break-in attempt, as described by Microsoft, is a simple password phishing attack on user logins. This is the most common type of break-in, and, according to reports, a break-in can occur thousands of times daily across the internet.

The Times story says that Microsoft recently notified more than 600 organizations that they had been the target of about 23,000 attempts to enter their servers. In this case, is that news?

What Microsoft adds to its press release is that they think the source of these attempts is a group based in Russia. And back in May, this is what the Times reported: “Microsoft says: “The attacks we’ve seen in the recent campaign against resellers and service providers have not attempted to exploit any flaw or vulnerability in software but rather used well-known techniques, like password spray and phishing, to steal legitimate credentials and gain pre permissions.”

Microsoft adds that it had updated its security requirements in September 2020 to reduce its vulnerability to “password spray and phishing,” but it had failed to enforce these policies. “We will [now] take the necessary and appropriate steps to enforce these security commitments.”

So why was the Times’ headline “Russia Challenges Biden Again With Another Cybersecurity Campaign?” The only challenge was anonnymous, not necessarily Russian, and the only target was Microsoft. And Microsoft says it is not a serious or significant challenge. But as we’ve seen over the years, when the capitalists want war propaganda, truth is always the first casualty.
Continued from page 4

more, as part of the Cold War strategy, Washington steered investment into West Germany in order to build the state up to undermine the GDR and the other socialist countries.

Nevertheless, despite the western Federal Republic's status as an explicitly anti-communist bludgeon, workers there enjoyed many advantages. The continued strength of the workers' movement and the pressure exerted by the mere existence of a socialist alternative at the border contributed to the fact that the Federal Republic was the biggest social spender in the Western world until the early 1970s.

Indeed, after World War II, the Nazis' persecution and economic devastation. In 2021, Germany's economy was well integrated and accessible. The state introduced a rental income tax to subsidize the creation of public housing, introduced rent controls and more.

The Nazi regime undermined almost all social gains of the Weimar period. But after 1945, the socialist government of the GDR struggled to provide housing for all. Much of the housing was destroyed or damaged during the war, but because they had developed a publicly-owned economy, they were able to marshal the society to the cause of reconstruction.

The GDR expropriated big land owners and built “socialist new towns” like Halle-Neustadt in just a few years, where the working class could live in close proximity to their workplaces, shopping districts, recreational facilities, etc., were well integrated and accessible. Most importantly, they abolished the private housing commodity market.

Because of the extent of wartime damage and the strong public impulses generated by the imperialists, it wasn’t until the 1970s that they were able to truly begin addressing the housing shortage. Nevertheless, the average tenant paid only about 5% of income, whereas the spending bill. If you were living in an environmentally friendly environment, you could go toward uplifting people. German troops began occupying Afghanistan in 2003, and didn’t begin instigating throughout the world.

While the Socialist Jean Jaurès (1859–1914) underlined this connection: “Capitalism carries war within itself like the clouds carry rain. And not only that. Capitalism also carries the seeds of fascism in itself. We had eradicated the economic roots of war and fascism in the GDR. The west of the country remained capitalist.

“In 1990, the GDR was absorbed into this society, which has caused so much harm in German history. The past was brought back. No one can name that revolution.”

Today, Germany is the premier private and economic power in Europe, dictating austerity for weaker states like Greece. In 2021, Germany’s spending for NATO hit a record high of $63.8 billion. This is money that could go toward uplifting people.

German troops began occupying Afghanistan in 2003, and didn’t begin withdrawing until June of this year, as part of the U.S. exit. German imperialism, then, has been a key factor in the destabilization of West Asia. Like their counterparts in Washington, German leaders are to blame for the refugee crisis. In the first six months of 2021, 1,146 refugees died trying to get to Europe.

In North Rhine-Westphalia, over 30% of the population is made up of first or second-generation immigrants. The German military participated in imperialist intervention in the Syrian Civil War. So far in 2021, 40,472 new Syrian refugees have been counted in Germany.

The German state owes these people housing and more. The damage done is necessary that a large percentage of the existing housing stock be converted into public housing in order to accommodate all people fleeing war and climate disasters.

Continued from page 1

The White House could have pushed harder to get the banks and corporations to pay for the spending bill. If they were looking for an easy target, Trump’s tax break gift of $2 trillion to the capitalist class was staring them right in the face. If you add that to the $2.1 trillion that parasitic corporations like Amazon, Walmart and others stole since the COVID-19 pandemic began, the total is far more than the original amount of the spending bill.

The real negotiations

Biden didn’t have to cave in to Manchin and his hit squad. But if the real center of power that the White House is negotiating with is the collection of giant banks, energy companies and other corporations — the capitalist class.

Big banks and oil companies put on an act that they are investing in “greening” the economy. While a study called Banking on Climate Chaos reported that seven U.S. banks have invested about $12.3 trillion in fossil fuels in the six years since the Paris Climate conference.

JP Morgan Chase was out front with $268 billion; Wells Fargo, Bank of America and Citibank are close behind. They’re at the top of the list globally. Their investments were in some of the biggest U.S. oil and gas corporations.

Although the fossil fuel industry has not budged an inch in the interest of saving the planet, and the Democratic Party has done nothing about it. The White House just try to put on a good face on it, but the U.S. is lagging way behind the 2015 Paris goals to keep the rise in the earth’s temperature below 2 degrees Celsius by 2030 or reach net zero carbon emissions by mid-century. The Glasgow conference is meant to strengthen those goals.

Further, the catastrophic weather events of 2021 have the world’s climatologists studying the question of whether that timeline is adequate.

In mid-October, 650 people were arrested during “People vs. Fossil Fuels” protests in Washington, D.C. Youth blocked a road while Indigenous leaders — the initiators of the protests — sat in at the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, demanding that Biden call a climate emergency.

These brave activists have the right idea. Big Oil can’t be negotiated away. The people’s movement that is necessary has to be more than independent — it has to be revolutionary. To save the planet, capitalism must die.
Biden targets China: Turning Taiwan into a military outpost

By Gary Wilson

The Guardian reports that U.S. Secretary of State Antony Blinken threatened a U.S. military buildup in Taiwan in a “side meeting” at the G20 summit in Rome on Oct. 31.

The Guardian added that Blinken’s threat “came a week after Biden said the U.S. would rely on its allies to defend Taiwan against China’s independence militarily and Blinken called for Taiwan to be recognized within U.N. institutions.”

On Oct. 25, the New York Times reported that about two dozen U.S. special operations and support troops were “secretly operating in Taiwan to train military forces there for at least a year.”

The U.S. government officially recognizes that Taiwan is a province of China, not a separate nation. By a 1979 agreement, the U.S. promised to remove all military personnel from Taiwan.

Therefore, what the Biden administration is now doing — secretly sending military forces into the Chinese province — is in violation of both U.S. and international law.

The Chinese province of Taiwan, once a colony of Japan, now calls it self the Republic of China. The U.S. government decided to designate Taiwan as the government of all China after Mao Zedong proclaimed the People’s Republic of China on Oct. 1, 1949.

Taiwan was never the capital of China, but it has been part of China for millennia.

To the people of China, Blinken probably sounds like a reminder of the European and Japanese colonialists of the 19th century who had seized Taiwan and other parts of China and used military force to protect their possessions.

Century of colonialism

In China it’s known as the “century of humiliation”: the years of disappearance and subjugation by the European and Japanese imperialists from 1840 to 1940.

The First Opium War began in 1839. Britain grew opium in India and sold it in China, until the Qing government tried to stop the importation of opium. Britain launched the “Opium War” to keep the drug flowing into China.

In the century of colonialism, China is known as the “century of humiliation”: the years of disappearance and subjugation by the European and Japanese imperialists from 1840 to 1940.

The first major war was the Opium War, which ended in 1842. Britain and France won the war and forced China to open its ports to foreign trade.

Following the 1979 communiqué, U.S. arms sales to Taiwan have increased every year. In 2020, the Pentagon announced more than $1.8 billion in arms to Taiwan, including 135 precision-guided cruise missiles and rocket launchers.

Separatists vs. Indigenous Taiwanese

Following the end of the martial law in 1987, a new political party emerged in Taiwan to challenge the Kuomintang. The Democratic Progressive Party (DPP) is a Taiwanese nationalist party and currently controls the presidency and the Legislative Yuan. The Kuomintang remains as the second major party in Taiwan.

The United States has expanded its naval operations in the South China Sea and Strait of Taiwan. The increasing frequency of the exercises by aircraft carrier strike groups is extremely provocative.

The recent sale of nuclear submarines to Australia as part of the formation of the AUKUS bloc was another aggressive move. AUKUS is an alliance between Australia, Britain and the United States that is clearly aimed at confrontation with China.

The reunification of China after the “century of humiliation” has always been seen as an essential part of building socialist China. The Chinese constitution states: “Taiwan is part of the sacred territory of the People’s Republic of China. It is the lofty duty of the entire Chinese people, including our compatriots in Taiwan, to accomplish the great task of reunifying the motherland.”

Without warfare or any kind of military incursion, China carried out the reunification of Hong Kong and Macau using an approach called “One Country, Two Systems.” Something like this was expected to happen with Taiwan, leading to a gradual reunification. The Communist Party of China has always stressed its desire to achieve a peaceful reunification with Taiwan.
12 years after coup, Honduras resistance fights for fair election

By Lucy Pagoada-Quesada

Twelve years have passed since the fateful June 28, 2009, which began with the oligarchy, private companies and the leaders of the Catholic and evangelical churches. How can you forget? The coup d’état against Manuel Zelaya Rodríguez, supported by the coup and asking the constitutional president of Honduras, Manuel Zelaya Rosales, not to return to the presidency of the country — in which he was democratically elected by the people? Who has ever forgotten that the coup was supported by the U.S. government, at that time headed by Democratic President Barack Obama and Secretary of State Hilary Clinton.

This crime against humanity is responsible for the deepening of the social, economic and political chaos in which Honduras has found itself ever since. It's a country such a tragedy is produced by natural disasters like Hurricanes Eta and Iota, due to climate change and global warming. But also by political disasters, such as the imposition of the narco-dictatorship of the National Party, and by a U.S. government in position in maintaining its failed imperial and neoliberal model in Honduras.

U.S.-controlled dictatorships

We cannot forget that Honduras has always had a colonial relationship with the North American empire. This empire has always seen and treated us as its backyard.

It is where the Monroe Doctrine of 1823 and the famous Manifest Destiny has been most brutally implemented. Time has borne out the words of our liberation hero Simón Bolívar, who said, “The United States seeks destined by Providence to plague America with miseries in the name of freedom.”

And that is precisely what the U.S. has always done in Honduras. At the beginning of the 19th century, it turned our country into a banana enclave and enslaved thousands of Honduran peasants who worked in its banana prisons. In the 1980s, President Ronald Reagan turned Honduras into his military platform, establishing there the pack of contras at the Soto Cano air base, to fight against the revolutions in Nicaragua and El Salvador.

Today, the U.S. Southern Command operates in the country, supporting the military personnel, and across Honduras there are another 12 U.S. military bases. Honduras has always been a geographically convenient country, for the interests of the U.S. and a zone of exploitation of natural resources, such as mining, for large transnational corporations.

The North American empire has imposed its culture of domination in all spheres of our country — in the country that in Honduras the U.S. Embassy has always been the one in charge, never had true independence.

Besides our unionist hero Francisco Morazán Quesada, President Zelaya has been the only one in modern times who dared to say, “Enough already!” and to demand respect for our dignity and sovereignty as a people. The result of daring to defend us was that they took him out of his own house at the point of bayonets on the early morning of June 28, 2009.

From that moment on, the Honduran people have risen in resistance and have been victims of the worst repressions, murder, forced displacement, occupation, local and regional exile, and the whims of a brutal, nationalistic narco-dictatorship that to this day continues to be fueled by the U.S. empire.

However, as a people, we have taken very important steps in our struggle for a true liberation from the imperialist doctrine of Washington. In 2012 we turned the resistance movement into our political arm — the Liberal and Refoundation Party, inside and outside Honduras, we are organized and on the ground. The struggle in the U.S., Latin America and Europe, there is resistance that is also LIBRE.

The fact that the imperial community, especially the most recent wave, is in opposition. And that is why in this electoral process the community of Honduras will have the opportunity to change the worst violations of its constitutional rights.

The narco-dictatorship of the National Party, through its Foreign Ministry, decided to leave us out of the new census that has been taken in Honduras and that issues the new National Identity Document (DNI) necessary to vote. Therefore most Hondurans abroad will not be able to vote.

Of the 300,000 Hondurans that we had to register, we were able to register only 14,000, since the Ministry of Foreign Affairs removed the Census Kits after a week, due to technical failures — something absurd. This is an abuse of our rights.

And that is why the D19-LIBRE in the U.S. has filed a legal suit before the Supreme Court of Justice in Honduras against the Honduran Foreign Ministry. It's a milestone in the history of the Honduran community against an institution of the Honduran state, for which we are awaiting a response. They will never shut us up or shut us down.

Stolen elections

As the LIBRE opposition, we have already participated in two elections that we have won (in 2013 and 2017), but with gringo intervention and the murderous repression of the narco-dictatorship, they robbed us of our victory by the force of bullets.

Terrible misfortunes have been perpetrated by the narco-nationalist puppets of the gringos in Honduras.

One of the worst and most recent is the sale of our territory for the realization of the Employment and Development Zones, better known as ZEDES. These are large areas of our territory that are being handed to transnationals to build cities where Hondurans will not be able to live, since they will have their own governments and legal systems outside the Honduran state.

That is why the change of path in Honduras at this time is urgent and crucial. If we do not turn the political situation around and remove the narco-nationalists from power in the next elections of Nov. 28, we are condemned to lose the Honduras we know today to strangers. Honduras will no longer belong to us.

But we have an alternative: the LIBRE Party and our candidate Xiomara Castro, now in a seat of unity with the Partido Salvador de Honduras (PSH) and Pinu. Xiomara Castro is the only candidate with a true government plan that she publicly presented to the people. It is a government plan with a social and humanistic approach.

It is a plan designed for the needs of the people and above all for the most unprotected and historically forgotten, which will begin to attend to them in the first hundred days of government with education, health, housing, family reunification, food security and social security among many other programs that are non-existent at this time.

For the first time, attention will be given to science, the arts and sports, to the protection of our cultural heritage, and other issues that are fundamental for the development of a dignified and healthy society.

As Honduran people, we are at a crucial moment in our history. This is a unique opportunity to change the order of things. It’s now or never. It is with Xiomara that we are going to achieve it.

Don't be fooled or sell your conscience. From here, in the D19 Department [North America], we ask that our family, friends and colleagues vote not only for yourselves, but also for us. We were practically left out of the process since only a few of us managed to enter the new census. But we understand that the outcome of the elections will be defined in Honduras. Behind the curtain and at the poll you have the power to change the Honduras that they have imposed on us for one that we deserve.

We need the vote to be massive so that there is not the slightest possibility that they will carry out fraud again. We need to protect the polls and not allow ourselves to be proselyted or extorted by those who want to buy our votes. Remember that dignity is priceless, but it is worth a lot.

To the U.S. government and its embassy in Honduras, we say: If you do not want to continue facing the massive exodus of displaced people from your failed imperial and neoliberal policies, leave the Honduran people alone.

Let us take charge of our present and future. Let us elect the leaders we want, and stop imposing on us sellout puppets to do your errands and dirty work for you. U.S. hands off Honduras!

Out with the narco-dictatorship! Xiomara president!

Pagadoa-Quesada is a teacher and electoral campaign commission coordinator for Xiomara Castro in D19 U.S.
Nicaragua stands up to U.S. election interference

By Greg Butterfield

On Sunday, Nov. 7, voters in Nicaragua turned out in large numbers for the presidential elections to elect president for vice president, and National Assembly representatives. According to polls, they are likely to hand a second term to incumbent President Daniel Ortega and the Sandinista party. Wall Street, Washington and reactionary forces throughout Latin America are not happy about it.

Reports in mainstream U.S. media predicted the country to see Nicaragua’s public opposition parties, are on the ballot. "It is every country’s right to defend their integrity and what is what we will do, in accordance with the United Nations Charter. The Nicaraguan people are the only ones responsible for their own problems," President Ortega declared Oct. 26.

The Nicaraguan Ministry of Foreign Affairs has condemned U.S. President Joe Biden's administration and its anti-communist sentiment. "We demand that Mr. Sullivan and his cronies stop their nefarious schemes against the peoples of Latin America," Ortega stated.

On Oct. 18, the Bolivarian Alliance for the Peoples of Our America - People’s Trade Treaty (ALBA-TCP), an alliance of Latin American and Caribbean countries that seek to be independent of U.S. domination, issued a condemnation of U.S. moves to destabilize the government of Nicaragua.

"The Alliance welcomes the preparation of the electoral process in Nicaragua by the Republic of Nicaragua. Moreover, it reaffirms its support to the Sandinista government, President Daniel Ortega Saavedra, Vice President Rosario Murillo and the Nicaraguan people in their decision to continue defending the sovereignty, peace and notable social, economic and security advances, as well as the national unity achieved.

"The member countries of the Alliance call on the international community to reject (U.S.-directed) intimidations and to defend the sovereignty, self-determination and the political independence of Nicaragua."

"Ortega returned to power in 2007 and has been in power ever since. No other Latin American leader has been in power for so long. He is a force in the early 2000s with the rise of Chavismo in Venezuela and the Sandinista government in Nicaragua. Because of an unrelenting U.S. proxy war throughout the 1980s, coupled with internal differences in the FSLN, the Nicaraguan Revolution was not able to fully overturn the capitalist state and uproot the living standards of the masses. Eliminate illiteracy and increase access to housing and healthcare in the midst of a civil war that killed more than 300,000 people. (Washington famously funded the so-called “contra” war in part by flooding Black and other oppressed communities in the U.S. with jobless Black and Latin American workers — serving the additional purpose of accelerating racist mass incarceration of “surplus” workers at home.)

"In 1990, after years of bloody war and as counterrevolutionary defeat loomed, Nicaragua’s socialist allies in the USSR and Eastern Europe, U.S.-allied Violeta Chamorro was able to capture the presidency. But as the Cold War ended in 1991, Nicaragua’s capitalist class rolled back many of the gains of the revolution."

"In 2018, a far-right protest movement, financed and encouraged by the U.S. government, threw the country into chaos. It attempted to topple Nicaragua’s government in a way that the right-wing Bolivian leader Evo Morales in 2019. Fortunately the Sandinistas were able to weather this storm and begin rebuilding from the attempts to wreck the country’s economy, including U.S. sanctions."

"Nicaragua’s business leaders arrested in Ortega’s pre-election crackdown."

"Leading off with the detention of Michael Healey, president of Nicaragua’s business association Cosep, Phillips quotes unnamed opposition activists who called it a “kidnapping” of “a key figure in the unusual alliance between student activists and big business that tried to topple Nicaragua’s strongman president in 2018.”"

"The Guardian then goes on to spread the slander, approvingly quoting right-wing newspaper La Prensa’s claim that Nicaragua is experiencing a “level of repression that surpasses even violent and oppressive governments such as Venezuela’s.”"

"Similarly, The Nation magazine has been drawn into the imperialist propaganda war, publishing a hit piece on Sept. 28 entitled “Why the media no longer cares about Nicaragua” by Eric Alterman. After reviewing the long history of U.S. intervention in Nicaragua, Alterman then stands reality on its head, asserting that the current Sandinista government is somehow the modern version of the U.S. contras, shielded by Washington and media “elites.”

"The news media has been duped by the U.S. to promote violent protests, but none of them were candidates for office, although some became candidates after being arrested. The police eventually used force against violent street protests that had killed many bystanders and police officers in 2018. They did not censor the press or kill or torture journalists (that’s the US’s role)."

"The Biden administration continues to help against people, pro-war policies from Cuba and Venezuela to Colombia and Peru, it is important for workers, anti-war activists and progressive people not to be misled by the deluge of anti-Nicaraguan propaganda."

"The Nation should be embarrassed for publishing such right-wing drivel and CIA propaganda. = Neo-liberal governments that let their people starve while shipping their resources north for a pitance are ‘free’ and ‘democratic’ and what we all want. Governments that try to improve the lives of their own people are corrupt Marxist dictators. = The nations that seek to help civilians responded for the New York Times, writing some of the most virulently anti-Sandinista lies that were dutifully repeated by most other outlets. The Nation was one of the few outlets that occasionally reminded us that the Sandinistas’ supposed human rights violations were exaggerated, and that they did improve the lives of many Nicaraguan people early after overthrowing the dictator Somoza and before the U.S. sanctions and war had their full impact. They had reduced illiteracy and poverty and built health clinics throughout the countryside, all achievements that were systematically attacked by U.S.-backed terrorists (Contran)."

"Ortega returned to power in 2007 and Alterman claims he ‘proved himself not to be a (Bennie) Sanders-style democratic socialist’. That may be true. The Sandinistas built 21 hospitals, hundreds of health clinics, and low-income homes. They supported women’s rights and now Nicaragua is fifth in the world (after the Nordic countries) for gender equality. They did not legalize abortion because most of their population would not support it. They reintroduced free public education to everyone from primary school through university. I don’t recall Senator Sanders accomplishing anything like that."

"What the Sandinistas didn’t do is arrest people for running for office or speaking out against President Ortega. Some people were arrested, but instead of sending them to the U.S. to promote violent protests, but none of them were candidates for office, although some became candidates after being arrested. The police eventually used force against violent street protests that had killed many bystanders and police officers in 2018. They did not censor the press or kill or torture journalists (that’s the US’s role)."

"The current Sandinista government is somehow the modern version of the U.S. contras, shielded by Washington and media “elites.”

"The news media has been duped by the U.S. to promote violent protests, but none of them were candidates for office, although some became candidates after being arrested. The police eventually used force against violent street protests that had killed many bystanders and police officers in 2018. They did not censor the press or kill or torture journalists (that’s the US’s role)."

"The nations that seek to help civilians responded for the New York Times, writing some of the most virulently anti-Sandinista lies that were dutifully repeated by most other outlets. The Nation was one of the few outlets that occasionally reminded us that the Sandinistas’ supposed human rights violations were exaggerated, and that they did improve the lives of many Nicaraguan people early after overthrowing the dictator Somoza and before the U.S. sanctions and war had their full impact. They had reduced illiteracy and poverty and built health clinics throughout the countryside, all achievements that were systematically attacked by U.S.-backed terrorists (Contran)."
NYC rally demands: End sanctions on Zimbabwe

By Bill Dores

Oct. 25 is Zimbabwe Anti-Sanctions Day. That day was marked in New York City by the December 12th Movement, which led a vibrant march and rally at midday outside the U.S. Mission to the United Nations. Carrying Zimbabwean and African liberation flags, the well-organized marchers chanted: “Fight on, ZANU-PF!” and “Sanctions are murder! End the sanctions now!”

The day of protest was launched three years ago when the Southern Africa Development Community (SADC) passed a resolution demanding an end to devastating economic sanctions imposed on the African country by the United States, Britain and the European Union. SADC comprises the nations of Angola, Botswana, Democratic Republic of Congo, Lesotho, Malawi, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, Seychelles, South Africa, Swaziland, Tanzania, Zambia and Zimbabwe. The SADC nations have imposed sanctions on Zimbabwe for nearly 20 years. Western ruling classes were outraged when the country’s first black leader was elected by the Zimbabwe African National Union-Patriotic Front (ZANU-PF), redistributed land stolen by British settlers to landless Zimbabwean freedom fighters. Between 1963 and 1979, ZANU-PF waged a liberation war to free the country from white settler minority rule. Under the 1980 agreement that ended the war, Britain was supposed to pay white landowners to give back land their ancestors had stolen from black African people at the point of British guns.

But Britain broke the agreement. So in 2000, Zimbabwe’s revolutionary government asserted its rightful sovereignty over Zimbabwean land. The sanctions, begun by the George W. Bush regime, were tightened by the Obama administration and made even harsher under Trump. Despite the overwhelming opposition of the countries of Africa and around the world, the Biden White House has refused to end them.

At the rally in New York, members of the December 12th Movement read statements condemning the sanctions by the foreign ministers of Algeria, Namibia, Tanzania, Zimbabwe and other nations. To his last breath, Ka Oris was a true communist cadre and fighter. He now lives forever in the image of Ka Oris. The cowardly resources to demonize and blacken the image of Ka Oris. The cowardly and dishonorable fascists are beyond themselves in celebrating their cause of national and social liberation. To his last breath, Ka Oris was a true communist cadre and fighter.

For more than five decades, he devoted his life wholly and unwaveringly to the cause of all the oppressed and exploited people to free them from the yoke of imperialism, feudalism and bureaucrat capitalism. As a young student activist in the early 1970s, he was driven by the cause of democracy and social action, working to uplift the people from poverty and hunger. He helped organize his fellow students in the Central Mindanao University Musuan Campus in Maramag, Bukidnon. He was on his filming for fighting as for the cause of national and social liberation. To his last breath, Ka Oris was a true communist cadre and fighter. For more than five decades, he devoted his life wholly and unwaveringly to the cause of all the oppressed and exploited people to free them from the yoke of imperialism, feudalism and bureaucrat capitalism. As a young student activist in the early 1970s, he was driven by the cause of democracy and social action, working to uplift the people from poverty and hunger. He helped organize his fellow students in the Central Mindanao University Musuan Campus in Maramag, Bukidnon. He was on his filming for fighting as for the cause of national and social liberation.

He joined the New People’s Army as a young man and belonged to one of the first squads of Red fighter- ers who broke ground in Mindanao, particularly in Northern Mindanao. He played an important role in the growth of the NPA through the 1970s and 1980s. From a few squads, the NPA grew to several companies as they carried out mass work, military work and waging anti-feudal struggles. The NPA fought for the interests of the peasant masses and Lumad people (ethnic minorities) and defended themselves against the armed agents of the state and big capitalist logging and mining companies and plantations which grabbed farms and ancestral land.

The love of the broad masses of workers and peasants for Ka Oris is matched only by the hatred of big landlords, the big bourgeois compradors, the mining companies, plantations, the bureaucratic capitalists, tyrants and dictators, and the fascist terrorists who perpetuate the oppressive and exploitative system. They have used all their wealth and resources to demonize and blacken the image of Ka Oris. The cowardly and dishonorable fascists are beyond themselves in celebrating their cause of national and social liberation. To his last breath, Ka Oris was a true communist cadre and fighter. For more than five decades, he devoted his life wholly and unwaveringly to the cause of all the oppressed and exploited people to free them from the yoke of imperialism, feudalism and bureaucrat capitalism. As a young student activist in the early 1970s, he was driven by the cause of democracy and social action, working to uplift the people from poverty and hunger. He helped organize his fellow students in the Central Mindanao University Musuan Campus in Maramag, Bukidnon. He was on his filming for fighting as for the cause of national and social liberation.

He joined the New People’s Army as a young man and belonged to one of the first squads of Red fighter- ers who broke ground in Mindanao, particularly in Northern Mindan ao. He played an important role in the growth of the NPA through the 1970s and 1980s. From a few squads, the NPA grew to several companies as they carried out mass work, military work and waging anti-feudal struggles. The NPA fought for the interests of the peasant masses and Lumad people (ethnic minorities) and defended themselves against the armed agents of the state and big capitalist logging and mining companies and plantations which grabbed farms and ancestral land.

The very wealth plundered from Africa by the U.S., British and other Western ruling classes gives them the power to inflict sanctions on African countries. That stolen wealth must be returned. The people of Zimbabwe have a right to control their resources.

Hand off Zimbabwe! Receptions not sanctions!
Estados Unidos tiene una obsesión malsana con CUBA

Por Rosa Miriam Elizalde

La alacranzuela volvió a sonar. Hace menos de un mes, en septiembre de 2021, la Agencia de Estados Unidos para el Desarrollo Internacional (USAID) otorgó 6.669.000 dólares en subvenciones para proyectos destinados a un “cambio de régimen” en Cuba, eufemismo para evitar decir “intervención directa de una potencia extranjera”.

La administración demócrata ha favorecido especialmente al Instituto Republicano Internacional (IRI) por el que sierra jamás tuvo Donald Trump. También han recibido espléndidas partidas otros grupos de Florida, Washington y Madrid que han pedido la invasión a La Habana y pintan un panorama apocalíptico en La Habana, con lo que aseguran que más dinero viene el próximo año.

Las arcas públicas de Estados Unidos parecen inagotables para la industria anticaita. En el último año, al menos 56 organizaciones han sido beneficiadas con los programas para Cuba del Departamento de Estado, la USAID, la National Endowment for Democracy (NED) y la USAID. Esta agencia le ha otorgado en los últimos 20 años a Creative Associates International, una tapadera de la CIA, más de 1.800 millones de dólares para el espoloniaje, la propaganda y el reclutamiento de agentes de “cambio” en la isla. Uno de sus proyectos más conocidos, el llamado “Twitter cubano” o ZunZuneo, resultó en un soberbio fracaso que devaló una trama de corrupción y violaciones flagrantes de la ley estadounidense. El Zun-Zuneo le costó el puesto al director de la USAID, pero las operaciones de Creative Associates International se mantienen a toda velar, ahora de manera encubierta.

El investigador estadounidense Tracey Eaton, que durante años ha seguido la ruta de estos fondos, comentó en entrevista reciente que muchos de los programas de financiamiento para el “cambio de régimen” en Cuba son tan furtivos que probablemente jamás sabremos quiénes son todos los destinatarios ni el total, y a juzgar por los millones de conocidos, la subvención debe alcanzar una cifra faraónica. Las estrategias de “construcción de la democracia” se consideran “secretos de oficio” y están exentas de divulgación en virtud de la Ley de Libertad de Información estadounidense, según cartas que Eaton ha recibido del Departamento de Estado y de la USAID.

Estados Unidos se vuelve loco ante el supuesto indicio de intrusión rusa, china o islámica en la política local y en las plataformas en línea. Sin embargo, no duda ni por un minuto en intervenir groseramente en Cuba, como ha expuesto el diario digital MintPress News, que documentó cómo grupos privados de Facebook instigaron los disturbios del 11 de julio en varias ciudades cubanas. “La participación de ciudadanos extranjeros en los asuntos internos de Cuba está en un nivel que difícilmente se puede concebir en Estados Unidos”, dice la publicación y añade: “Las personas que provocaron las protestas del 11 de julio en Cuba siguen planeando acciones similares para octubre y noviembre”.

Los planes de subversión política de la superpotencia militar son una vergonzosa y un escándalo, pero nada indica que Washington alcanzará ahora lo que no pudo en 60 años. De hecho, esta obsesión por la política exterior tiene dos siglos, como ha demostrado Louis A. Pérez, investigador de la Universidad de Carolina del Norte, en un brillante ensayo titulado “Cuba como trastorno obsesivo compulsivo”.

“El tema de Cuba para ahora va ha sido un tema de disposición razonada. Desafía una explicación fácil, y ciertamente no puede entenderse única —o incluso principalmente— dentro de la lógica del cálculo de políticas que dan cuerpo a las relaciones exteriores de Estados Unidos, principalmente porque no hay lógica”, escribe el historiador.

Lo que sí tiene lógica es la permanencia en el tiempo de la “intrusión rusa” como ha advertido Che Guevara solía repetir en sus discursos de los primeros años de la Revolución de 1959 que “Cuba no será el gran latín” y recalcaría, si independencia del imperio estadounidense no sería boicoteada con banderazos mediáticos primero, movilizaciones inducidas y ataques militares después. La costumbre de derrocar alternativas independentistas es tan larga y la arrogancia por una abrumadora fuerza militar y mediática tan ciega, que el Gobierno estadounidense no ha logrado prever sus continua derrotas ni ha superado el tráuma de tener una isla levantiscasa “casi a la vista de nuestras costas”, como decía John Quincy Adams, “emboscada de la Unión de Periodistas de Cuba (UPEC) y de la Federación Latinoamericana de Periodistas (FELAP). Es autora y coautora de varios libros, incluyendo “Cuba: un problema de la diplomacia moderna” de la editorial de nuestro país. Y es columnista semanal de La Jornada, México. ☛

Continued to page 7

The U.S. has an unhealthy obsession with Cuba

By Rosa Miriam Elizalde

The piggy bank was rattled again. In September 2021, the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) awarded 6.669,000 dollars in grants for projects aimed at “regime change” in Cuba, a euphemism to avoid saying “direct intervention by a foreign power.”

The United States’ current Democratic administration has especially favored the International Republican Institute (IRI), a bipartisan generosity that Donald Trump never had. Other groups in Miami, Washington and Madrid that have also received generous amounts have been among those calling for an invasion of the island. These groups paint a picture of Spain in Havana to secure greater funding next year.

Public funding for the anti-Castro industry in the United States seems inexhaustible. In the last 20 years, this agency has given Creative Associates International, a CIA front, more than $1.8 billion for espionage, propaganda and the recruitment of agents of “change” including on the island. One of its best-known projects, the so-called “Cuban Twitter” or ZunZuneo, resulted in a failed scheme that unveiled a plot of corruption and flagrant violations of U.S. law. ZunZuneo cost the USAID director his job, but Creative Associates International continues to operate, only now undercover.

The American researcher Tracey Eaton, who for years has followed the route of these funds, commented in a recent interview that many of the financing programs for “regime change” in Cuba are so stealthy that we will probably never know who all the recipients are or what the total amount is, and judging by the known millions, the subsidy must reach an even greater figure. According to letters from the State Department and USAID that Eaton has received, “democracy-building” strategies are considered “trade secrets” and are exempt from disclosure under the U.S. Freedom of Information Act.

The United States goes berserk at the alleged hint of Russian, Chinese or Islamic intrusion into local politics and online platforms. However, it does not hesitate for a minute to rudely intervene in Cuba, as exposed by the digital daily MintPress News, which documented how private Facebook groups instigated the July 11 protests in several Cuban cities. “The involvement of foreign nationals in the domestic affairs of Cuba is on a level that the United States should have expected,” says the publication, adding: “The people who sparked the July 11 protests in Cuba are planning similar actions for October and November.”

The United States is a military suzerainty that has rarely been a topic of reasoned disqualification. It defies facile explanation, and certainly cannot be understood solely—or even principally—within the logic of the policy calculus that otherwise serves to inform U.S. foreign relations, mostly because it is not logical,” writes the historian.

What does make sense is the permanence in time of Cuban “intrusiveness.” Ernesto Che Guevara used to repeat in his speeches in the first years of the 1959 revolution that “Cuba will not be the great Latin” and recurred that the “embarrassment” of the United States, said the publication, adding: “The people who sparked the July 11 protests in Cuba are planning similar actions for October and November.”

The United States is a military suzerainty whose plans for political subversion are a shame and a scandal, and there is no indication that Washington will now achieve what it has failed to do in 60 years. In fact, the U.S. government’s obsession with Cuba is two centuries old, as Louis A. Pérez, a historian at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, has shown in a brilliant essay entitled “Cuba as an Obsessive Compulsive Disorder.”

The subject of “Cuban” has rarely been a topic of reasoned disqualification. It defies facile explanation, and certainly cannot be understood solely—or even principally—within the logic of the policy calculus that otherwise serves to inform U.S. foreign relations, mostly because it is not logical,” writes the historian.
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